

Young Bae Jun, Hee Sik Kim and Eun Hwan Roh

## REDEFINED FUZZY B-ALGEBRAS

**ABSTRACT:** Using the belongs to relation ( $\in$ ) and quasi-coincidence with relation ( $q$ ) between fuzzy points and fuzzy sets, the concept of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy B-algebras where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are any two of  $\{\in, q, \in \vee q, \in \wedge\}$  with  $\alpha \neq \in \wedge$  is introduced, and related properties are investigated. We give a condition for an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra to be an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra. We provide characterizations of an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra. We show that a proper  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  with additional conditions can be expressed as the union of two proper non-equivalent  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$ . We also prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a proper  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of a B-algebra  $X$  such that

$$\# \{ \mathcal{A}(x) \mid \mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5 \} \geq 2;$$

then there exist two proper non-equivalent  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  can be expressed as the union of them.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 03G10, 03B05, 03B52, 06F35.

**Key words and phrases:** B-algebra, B-subalgebra, belong to, quasi-coincident with,  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra,  $(\in, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras ([7, 8]). It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. In [5, 6] Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras: BCH-algebras. They showed that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH-algebras. Recently, the present authors ([9]) introduced a new notion, called a BH-algebra, which is a generalization of BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras. They also defined the notions of ideals and boundedness in BH-algebras, and showed that there is a maximal ideal in bounded BH-algebras. The

second author together with J. Neggers [13] introduced and investigated a class of algebras, i.e., the class of B-algebras, which is related to several classes of algebras of interest such as BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras and which seems to have rather nice properties without being excessively complicated otherwise. J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim [4] discussed further relations between B-algebras and other classes of algebras, such as quasigroups. It is well known that every group determines a B-algebra, called a *group-derived* B-algebra. It is natural to have a question of interest to determine whether or not all B-algebras are so group-derived. It is proved that this is not the case in general, and thus that this class of algebras contains the class of groups indirectly via the group-derived principle (See [1]). In this paper, using the *belongs to* relation ( $\in$ ) and *quasi-coincidence with* relation ( $q$ ) between fuzzy points and fuzzy sets, we introduce the concept of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy B-algebras where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are any two of  $\{\in, q, \in \vee q, \in \wedge q\}$  with  $\alpha \neq \in \wedge q$ , and investigate related properties. We give a condition for an  $(\in, \in \vee f)$ -fuzzy B-algebra to be an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra. We provide characterizations of an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra. We show that a proper  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  with additional conditions can be expressed as the union of two proper non-equivalent  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$ . We also prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a proper  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of a B-algebra  $X$  such that  $\#\{\mathcal{A}(x) \mid \mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5\} \geq 2$ , then there exist two proper non-equivalent  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  can be expressed as the union of them.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A *B-algebra* is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x)$ ,
- (iii)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)))$ .

A non-empty subset  $N$  of a B-algebra  $X$  is called a *B-subalgebra* of  $X$  if  $x * y \in N$  for any  $x, y \in N$ . A non-empty subset  $N$  of a B-algebra  $X$  is said to be *normal* if  $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$  whenever  $x * y \in N$  and  $a * b \in N$ . Note that any normal subset  $N$  of a B-algebra  $X$  is a B-algebra of  $X$ , but the converse need not be true (see [10]). A non-empty subset  $N$  of a B-algebra  $X$  is called a *normal B-subalgebra* of  $X$  if it is both a B-algebra and normal.

**Lemma 2.1.** [13] *If  $X$  is a B-algebra, then  $x * y = x * (0 * (0 * y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .*

**Example 2.2.** [13] Let  $X$  be the set of all real numbers except for a negative integer  $-n$ . Define a binary operation “ $*$ ” on  $X$  by

$$x * y := \frac{n(x - y)}{n + y}.$$

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a B-algebra.

**Example 2.3.** [13] Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the group of integers under usual addition and let  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . We adjoin the special element  $\alpha$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $X := \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\alpha\}$ . Define  $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha + n = n - 1$  where  $n \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha + \alpha$  is an arbitrary element in  $X$ . Define a mapping  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  by  $\phi(\alpha) = 1$ ,  $\phi(n) = -n$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If we define a binary operation “ $*$ ” on  $X$  by  $x * y := x + \phi(y)$ , then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a non-group derived B-algebra.

A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in a set  $X$  of the form

$$\mathcal{A}(y) := \begin{cases} t \in (0, 1] & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x, \end{cases}$$

is said to be a *fuzzy point* with support  $x$  and value  $t$  and is denoted by  $x_t$ .

For a fuzzy point  $x_t$  and a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in a set  $X$ , Pu and Liu [15] gave meaning to the symbol  $x_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\alpha \in \{\in, q, \in \vee q, \in \wedge q\}$ .

To say that  $x_t \in \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $x_t q \mathcal{A}$ ) means that  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1$ ), and in this case,  $x_t$  is said to *belong to* (resp. *be quasi-coincident with*) a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$ .

To say that  $x_t \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $x_t \in \wedge q \mathcal{A}$ ) means that  $x_t \in \mathcal{A}$  or  $x_t q \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $x_t \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x_t q \mathcal{A}$ ).

### 3. REDEFINED FUZZY B-ALGEBRAS

In what follows, let  $X$  denote a B-algebra unless otherwise specified, and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote any one of  $\in, q, \in \vee q$ , or  $\in \wedge q$  unless otherwise specified. To say that  $x_t \bar{\alpha} \mathcal{A}$  means that  $x_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$  does not hold.

**Definition 3.1.** [10] A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  is called a *fuzzy B-algebra* if it satisfies the inequality

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min \{ \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y) \}). \quad (1)$$

**Proposition 3.2.** For any fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$ , the condition (1) is equivalent to the following condition

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\forall t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]) (x_{t_1}, y_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow (x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Assume that the condition (1) is valid. Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1}, y_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq t_2$ , which imply from (1) that

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\}.$$

Hence  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Conversely suppose that the condition (2) is valid. Note that  $x_{\mathcal{A}(x)} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{\mathcal{A}(y)} \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus  $(x * y)_{\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}} \in \mathcal{A}$  by (2), and so  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  is said to be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , where  $\alpha \neq \in \wedge q$ , if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\forall t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]) (x_{t_1} \alpha \mathcal{A}, y_{t_2} \alpha \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow (x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \beta \mathcal{A}). \quad (3)$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x) \leq 0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_t \in \wedge q \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$  and  $\mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1$ . It follows that

$$1 < \mathcal{A}(x) + t \leq \mathcal{A}(x) + \mathcal{A}(x) = 2\mathcal{A}(x)$$

so that  $\mathcal{A}(x) > 0.5$ . This means that  $\{x_t \mid x_t \in \wedge q \mathcal{A}\} = \emptyset$ . Therefore the case  $\alpha = \in \wedge q$  in Definition 3.3 will be omitted.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be a set with the following Cayley table:

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	c	b	a
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	a	0	c
c	c	b	a	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a B-algebra ([1]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  defined by  $\mathcal{A}(0) = 0.6$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(b) = 0.7$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(a) = \mathcal{A}(c) = 0.3$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . But

(1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  since  $b_{0.63} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b_{0.68} \in \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(b * b)_{\min\{0.63, 0.68\}} = 0_{0.63} \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a  $(q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  since  $b_{0.43} q \mathcal{A}$  and  $a_{0.79} q \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(b * a)_{\min\{0.43, 0.79\}} = a_{0.43} \overline{\in \vee q \mathcal{A}}$  because  $\mathcal{A}(a) = 0.3 \not\geq 0.43$  and  $\mathcal{A}(a) + 0.43 = 0.3 + 0.43 = 0.73 \not\geq 1$ .

(3)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an  $(\in \vee q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  since  $b_{0.5} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  and  $c_{0.8} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(b * c)_{\min\{0.5, 0.8\}} = c_{0.5} \overline{\in \vee q \mathcal{A}}$  because  $\mathcal{A}(c) = 0.3 \not\geq 0.5$  and  $\mathcal{A}(c) + 0.5 = 0.3 + 0.5 = 0.8 \not\geq 1$ .

(4)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an  $(\in \vee q, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  since  $b_{0.66} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  and  $a_{0.78} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(a * b)_{\min\{0.78, 0.66\}} = c_{0.66} \overline{q \mathcal{A}}$  because  $\mathcal{A}(c) + 0.66 = 0.3 + 0.66 = 0.96 \not\geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Every  $(\in \vee q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $(\in \vee q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $x_{t_1} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ , which imply that  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Every  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra.*

*Proof.* Straightforward.

Example 3.4 shows that the converse of Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 need not be true.

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-zero  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(0) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{A}(0) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-zero, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x) = t > 0$ . If  $\alpha = \in$  or  $\alpha = \in \vee q$ , then  $x_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(x * x)_{\min\{t, t\}} = 0_t \overline{\beta \mathcal{A}}$ . This is a contradiction. If  $\alpha = q$ , then  $x_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$  because  $\mathcal{A}(x) + 1 = t + 1 > 1$ . But  $(x * x)_{\min\{1, 1\}} = 0_1 \overline{\beta \mathcal{A}}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{A}(0) > 0$ .

For a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$ , we denote  $X_0 := \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{A}(x) > 0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonzero  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , then the set  $X_0$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) > 0$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) = 0$ . Note that  $x_{\mathcal{A}(x)} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{\mathcal{A}(y)} \in \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(x * y)_{\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}} \overline{\in \mathcal{A}}$  because  $\mathcal{A}$

$(x * y) = 0 < \min \{ \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y) \}$ . This is a contradiction, and thus  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) > 0$ , which shows that  $x * y \in X_0$ . Consequently  $X_0$  is a B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonzero  $(\in, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , then the set  $X_0$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) > 0$ . If  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) = 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) + \min \{ \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y) \} = \min \{ \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y) \} \leq 1.$$

Hence  $(x * y)_{\min \{ \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y) \}} \bar{q} \mathcal{A}$ , which is a contradiction since  $x_{\mathcal{A}(x)} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{\mathcal{A}(y)} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) > 0$ , and so  $x * y \in X_0$ . Therefore  $X_0$  is a B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonzero  $(q, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , then the set  $X_0$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) > 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(x) + 1 > 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) + 1 > 1$ , which imply that  $x_1 q \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_1 q \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < 1 = \min \{ 1, 1 \}$ . Therefore  $(x * y)_{\min \{ 1, 1 \}} \bar{\in} \mathcal{A}$ , which is a contradiction. It follows that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) > 0$  so that  $x * y \in X_0$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.11.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonzero  $(q, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , then the set  $X_0$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) > 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(x) + 1 > 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) + 1 > 1$ , and therefore  $x_1 q \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_1 q \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) + \min \{ 1, 1 \} = 0 + 1 = 1$ , and so  $(x * y)_{\min \{ 1, 1 \}} \bar{q} \mathcal{A}$ . This is impossible, and hence  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) > 0$ , i.e.,  $x * y \in X_0$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.12.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is one of the following*

- (i) *a nonzero  $(\in, \in \wedge q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*
- (ii) *a nonzero  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*
- (iii) *a nonzero  $(\in \vee q, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*
- (iv) *a nonzero  $(\in \vee q, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*
- (v) *a nonzero  $(\in \vee q, \in \wedge q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*
- (vi) *a nonzero  $(q, \in \wedge q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*
- (vii) *a nonzero  $(q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ ,*

*then the set  $X_0$  is a B-algebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorems 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, and/or 3.11.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Every nonzero  $(q, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  is constant on  $X_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a nonzero  $(q, q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not constant on  $X_0$ . Then there exists  $y \in X_0$  such that  $t_y = \mathcal{A}(y) \neq \mathcal{A}(0) = t_0$ . Then either  $t_y > t_0$  or  $t_y < t_0$ . Suppose  $t_y < t_0$  and choose  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  such that  $1 - t_0 < t_1 < 1 - t_y < t_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(0) + t_1 = t_0 + t_1 > 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) + t_2 = t_y + t_2 > 1$ , and so  $0_{t_1} q \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} q \mathcal{A}$ . Since

$$\mathcal{A}(y * 0) + \min \{t_1, t_2\} = \mathcal{A}(y) + t_1 = t_y + t_1 < 1,$$

we have  $(y * 0)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \bar{q} \mathcal{A}$ . which is a contradiction. Next assume that  $t_y > t_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(y) + (1 - t_0) = t_y + 1 - t_0 > 1$  and so  $y_{1-t_0} q \mathcal{A}$ . Since

$$\mathcal{A}(y * y) + (1 - t_0) = \mathcal{A}(0) + 1 - t_0 = t_0 + 1 - t_0 = 1,$$

we get  $(y * y)_{\min\{1-t_0, 1-t_0\}} \bar{q} \mathcal{A}$ . This is impossible. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  is constant on  $X_0$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-zero  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  where  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is one of the following:*

- $(\in, q)$ ,                      •  $(\in, \in \wedge q)$ ,
- $(q, \in)$ ,                        •  $(q, \in \wedge q)$ ,
- $(\in \vee q, q)$ ,                •  $(\in \vee q, \in \wedge q)$ ,
- $(\in \vee q, \in)$ ,

*Then  $\mathcal{A} = \chi_{X_0}$ , the characteristic function of  $X_0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there exists  $x \in X_0$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x) < 1$ . For  $\alpha = \in$ , choose  $t \in (0, 1]$  such that  $t < \min \{1 - \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(0)\}$ . Then  $x_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$  and  $0_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(x * 0)_{\min\{t, t\}} = x_t \bar{\beta} \mathcal{A}$  where  $\beta = q$  or  $\beta = \in \wedge q$ . This is a contradiction. Now let  $\alpha = q$ . Then  $x_1 \alpha \mathcal{A}$  and  $0_1 \alpha \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(x * 0)_{\min\{1, 1\}} = x_1 \bar{\beta} \mathcal{A}$  for  $\beta = \in$  or  $\beta = \in \wedge q$ , a contradiction. Finally let  $\alpha = \in \vee q$  and choose  $t \in (0, 1]$  such that  $x_t \in \mathcal{A}$  but  $x_t \bar{q} \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $x_t \alpha \mathcal{A}$  and  $0_1 \alpha \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(x * 0)_{\min\{t, 1\}} = x_t \bar{\beta} \mathcal{A}$  for  $\beta = q$  or  $\beta = \in \wedge q$ . This is impossible. Note that  $x_1 \alpha \mathcal{A}$  and  $0_1 \alpha \mathcal{A}$  but  $(x * 0)_{\min\{1, 1\}} = x_1 \bar{\in} \mathcal{A}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathcal{A} = \chi_{X_0}$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $S$  be a  $B$ -subalgebra of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  such that*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus S$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq 0.5$  for all  $x \in S$ .

*Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy  $B$ -algebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1} q \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} q \mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{A}(x) + t_1 > 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) + t_2 > 1$ . Then  $x * y \in S$  because if not then  $x \in X \setminus S$  or  $y \in X \setminus S$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(x) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{A}(y) = 0$ , and so  $t_1 > 1$  or  $t_2 > 1$ . This is a contradiction. If  $\min\{t_1, t_2\} > 0.5$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) + \min\{t_1, t_2\} > 1$  and thus  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} q \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\min\{t_1, t_2\} \leq 0.5$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq 0.5 \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\}$  and so  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $(q, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy  $B$ -algebra of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not constant on  $X_0$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq 0.5$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq 0.5$  for all  $x \in X_0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is not constant on  $X_0$ , there exists  $x \in X_0$  such that  $t_x = \mathcal{A}(x) \neq \mathcal{A}(0) = t_0$ . Then either  $t_0 < t_x$  or  $t_0 > t_x$ . For the first case, choose  $\delta > 0.5$  such that  $t_0 + \delta < 1 < t_x + \delta$ . It follows that  $x_\delta q \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(x * x) = \mathcal{A}(0) = t_0 < \delta = \min\{\delta, \delta\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(x * x) + \min\{\delta, \delta\} = \mathcal{A}(0) + \delta = t_0 + \delta < 1$  so that  $(x * x)_{\min\{\delta, \delta\}} \notin \vee q \mathcal{A}$ . This is a contradiction. Now if  $t_0 > t_x$ , we can choose  $\delta > 0.5$  such that  $t_x + \delta < 1 < t_0 + \delta$ . Then  $0_\delta q \mathcal{A}$  and  $x_1 q \mathcal{A}$ , but  $(x * 0)_{\min\{1, \delta\}} = x_\delta \notin \vee q \mathcal{A}$  since  $\mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5 < \delta$  and  $\mathcal{A}(x) + \delta = t_x + \delta < 1$ . This leads a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq 0.5$  for some  $x \in X$ . We now show that  $\mathcal{A}(0) \geq 0.5$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}(0) = t_0 < 0.5$ . Since there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x) = t_x \geq 0.5$ , it follows that  $t_0 < t_x$ . Choose  $t_1 > t_0$  such that  $t_0 + t_1 < 1 < t_x + t_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) + t_1 = t_x + t_1 > 1$ , and so  $x_{t_1} q \mathcal{A}$ . Now we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x * x) + \min\{t_1, t_1\} &= \mathcal{A}(0) + t_1 = t_0 + t_1 < 1, \\ \mathcal{A}(x * x) &= \mathcal{A}(0) = t_0 < t_1 = \min\{t_1, t_1\}. \end{aligned}$$



Hence  $(x * x)_{\min\{t_1, t_1\}} \in \overline{\vee q \mathcal{A}}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(0) \geq 0.5$ . Finally suppose that  $t_x = \mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5$  for some  $x \in X_0$ . Take  $t > 0$  such that  $t_x + t < 0.5$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) + 1 = t_x + 1 > 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(0) + (0.5 + t) > 1$ , which imply that  $x_1 q \mathcal{A}$  and  $0_{0.5+t} q \mathcal{A}$ . But  $(x*0)_{\min\{1, 0.5+t\}} = x_{0.5+t} \in \overline{\vee q \mathcal{A}}$  since  $\mathcal{A}(x * 0) = \mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5 + t < \min\{1, 0.5 + t\}$  and

$$\mathcal{A}(x * 0) + \min\{1, 0.5 + t\} = \mathcal{A}(x) + 0.5 + t = t_x + 0.5 + t < 0.5 + 0.5 = 1.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq 0.5$  for all  $x \in X_0$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.17.** A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}). \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$ . If  $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} < 0.5$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$ . For, assume that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$  and choose  $t$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < t < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$ . Then  $x_t \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_t \in \mathcal{A}$  but  $(x * y)_{\min\{t, t\}} = (x * y)_t \in \overline{\vee q \mathcal{A}}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\}$  whenever  $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} < 0.5$ . Now suppose that  $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq 0.5$ . Then  $x_{0.5} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{0.5} \in \mathcal{A}$ , which imply that

$$(x * y)_{\min\{0.5, 0.5\}} = (x * y)_{0.5} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq 0.5$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) + 0.5 < 0.5 + 0.5 = 1$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Conversely assume that (4) is valid. Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq t_2$ . If  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ , then  $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq 0.5$ . Otherwise, we have

$\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ , a contradiction. It follows that

$\mathcal{A}(x * y) + \min\{t_1, t_2\} > 2\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq 2\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\} = 1$  so that  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\} q \mathcal{A}}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.18.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $(\forall x \in X) (\mathcal{A}(0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\})$ ,
- (ii)  $(\forall x \in X) (\mathcal{A}(0 * x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\})$ ,
- (iii)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (\mathcal{A}(x * (0 * y)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\})$ ,
- (iv)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\mathcal{A}(x^n * x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\})$  whenever  $n$  is odd,
- (v)  $(\forall x, y \in X) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\mathcal{A}(x^n * x) = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\})$  whenever  $n$  is even,

where  $x^n * y = \underbrace{x * (\dots * (x * (x * y)) \dots)}_n$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , it follows from Theorem 3.17 that

$$\mathcal{A}(0) = \mathcal{A}(x * x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus (i) is valid. For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\mathcal{A}(0 * x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(0), \mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}$$

by Theorem 3.17 and (i) which shows that (ii) is valid, and

$$\mathcal{A}(x * (0 * y)) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(0 * y), 0.5\} \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$$

by Theorem 3.17 and (ii). Therefore (iii) holds. Let  $x \in X$  and assume that  $n$  is odd.

Then  $n = 2k - 1$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{A}(x * x) = \mathcal{A}(0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}(x^{2k-1} * x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\}$  for a positive integer  $k$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x^{2(k+1)-1} * x) &= \mathcal{A}(x^{2k+1} * x) = \mathcal{A}(x^{2k-1} * (x * (x * x))) \\ &= \mathcal{A}(x^{2k-1} * x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), 0.5\} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (iv). Similarly we obtain (v).

**Theorem 3.19.** A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  if and only if the set

$$U(\mathcal{A}; t) := \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{A}(x) \geq t\}$$

is a B-subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $t \in (0, 0.5]$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in U(\mathcal{A}; t)$  for  $t \in (0, 0.5]$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq t$ . It follows from Theorem 3.17 that

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\} \geq \min\{t, 0.5\} = t$$

so that  $x * y \in U(\mathcal{A}; t)$ . Therefore  $U(\mathcal{A}; t)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  such that the set

$$U(\mathcal{A}; t) := \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{A}(x) \geq t\}$$

is a B-subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $t \in (0, 0.5]$ . If there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$ , then we can take  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < t < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$ . Thus  $x, y \in U(\mathcal{A}; t)$  and  $t < 0.5$ , and so  $x * y \in U(\mathcal{A}; t)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq t$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using Theorem 3.17, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

We give conditions for a fuzzy set to be an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra.

**Theorem 3.20.** *If a fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 3.18, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies conditions (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 3.18 and let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(x * y) &= \mathcal{A}(x * (0 * (0 * y))) \text{ by Lemma 2.1} \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(0 * y), 0.5\} \text{ by Proposition 3.18(ii)} \\ &\geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}. \text{ by Proposition 3.18(iii)} \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 3.17, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.21.** *Let  $S$  be a B-subalgebra of a B-algebra  $X$ . For any  $t \in (0, 0.5]$ , there exists an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  such that  $U(\mathcal{A}; t) = S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in S, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in X$  where  $t \in (0, 0.5]$ . Obviously,  $U(\mathcal{A}; t) = S$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$  for some  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $\#Im(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) = 0$  and  $\min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\} = t$ , and so  $\mathcal{A}(x) = t = \mathcal{A}(y)$ , so that  $x, y \in S$  but  $x * y \notin S$ . This is a contradiction, and so  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$ . Using Theorem 3.17, we know that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.22.** For any subset  $S$  of  $X$ , the characteristic function  $\chi_S$  of  $S$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  if and only if  $S$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\chi_S$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in S$ . Then  $\chi_S(x) = 1 = \chi_S(y)$ , and so  $x_1 \in \chi_S$  and  $y_1 \in \chi_S$ . It follows that  $(x * y)_1 = (x * y)_{\min\{1,1\}} \in \vee q \chi_S$  which yields  $\chi_S(x * y) > 0$ . Hence  $x * y \in S$ , and thus  $S$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ . Conversely if  $S$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $\chi_S$  is an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . It follows from Theorem 3.6 that  $\chi_S$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.23.** Let  $\{\mathcal{A}_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$ .

Then  $\mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_i$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Assume that  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \overline{\vee q \mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) < \min\{t_1, t_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) + \min\{t_1, t_2\} \leq 1$ , which imply that

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) < 0.5 \tag{5}$$

Let  $\Omega_1 := \{i \in \Lambda \mid (x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \mathcal{A}_i\}$  and

$$\Omega_2 := \{i \in \Lambda \mid (x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \overline{q \mathcal{A}_i}\} \cap \{j \in \Lambda \mid (x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_j}\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$ . If  $\Omega_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ , that is,  $\mathcal{A}_i(x * y) \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\}$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ , which yields  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $\Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and so for every  $i \in \Omega_2$  we have  $\mathcal{A}_i(x * y) < \min\{t_1, t_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i(x * y) + \min\{t_1, t_2\} > 1$ . It follows that  $\min\{t_1, t_2\} > 0.5$ . Now  $x_{t_1} \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t_1$  and thus  $\mathcal{A}_i(x) \geq \mathcal{A}(x) \geq t_1 \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\} > 0.5$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ . Similarly we get  $\mathcal{A}_i(y) > 0.5$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ . Next suppose that  $t := \mathcal{A}_i(x * y) < 0.5$ . Taking  $t < r < 0.5$ , we get  $x_r \in \mathcal{A}_i$  and  $y_r \in \mathcal{A}_i$ , but  $(x * y)_{\min\{r, r\}} = (x * y)_r \in \overline{\vee q \mathcal{A}_i}$ . This contradicts that  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}_i(x * y) \geq 0.5$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ , and so  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq 0.5$  which contradicts (5). Therefore  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  and consequently  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.24.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a homomorphism of B-algebras and let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then

(i)  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the sup property, i.e., for any subset  $T$  of  $X$  there exists  $x_0 \in T$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}(x_0) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{A}(x) \mid x \in T \},$$

then  $f(\mathcal{A})$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $Y$  when  $f$  is onto.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1} \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $y_{t_2} \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $(f(x))_{t_1} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $(f(y))_{t_2} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $Y$ , it follows that

$$(f(x * y))_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} = (f(x) * f(y))_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q \mathcal{B}$$

so that  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

(ii) Let  $a, b \in Y$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $a_{t_1} \in f(\mathcal{A})$  and  $b_{t_2} \in f(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $(f(\mathcal{A}))(a) \geq t_1$  and  $(f(\mathcal{A}))(b) \geq t_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has the sup property, there exists  $x \in f^{-1}(a)$  and  $y \in f^{-1}(b)$  such that

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{A}(z) \mid z \in f^{-1}(a) \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(y) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{A}(w) \mid w \in f^{-1}(b) \}.$$

Then  $x_{t_1} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ , we have  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ . Now  $x * y \in f^{-1}(a * b)$  and so  $(f(\mathcal{A}))(a * b) \geq \mathcal{A}(x * y)$ . Thus

$$(f(\mathcal{A}))(a * b) \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\} \text{ or } (f(\mathcal{A}))(a * b) + \min\{t_1, t_2\} > 1$$

which means that  $(a * b)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \vee q f(\mathcal{A})$ . Consequently,  $f(\mathcal{A})$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $Y$ .

A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  is said to be *proper* if  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{A})$  has at least two elements. Two fuzzy sets are said to be *equivalent* if they have same family of level subsets. Otherwise, they are said to be *non-equivalent*.

**Theorem 3.25.** *Let  $X$  be a B-algebra. Then a proper  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  such that  $\#\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}) \geq 3$  can be expressed as the union of two proper non-equivalent  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a proper  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  with  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}) = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ , where  $t_0 > t_1 > \dots > t_n$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$U(\mathcal{A}; t_0) \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_1) \subseteq \dots \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_n) = X$$

is the chain of  $\in$ -level B-subalgebras of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define fuzzy sets  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $X$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \begin{cases} r_1 & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_1), \\ t_2 & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_2) \setminus U(\mathcal{A}; t_1), \\ \dots & \\ t_n & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_n) \setminus U(\mathcal{A}; t_{n-1}), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_0), \\ t_1 & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_1) \setminus U(\mathcal{A}; t_0), \\ r_2 & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_3) \setminus U(\mathcal{A}; t_1), \\ t_4 & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_4) \setminus U(\mathcal{A}; t_3), \\ \dots & \\ t_n & \text{if } x \in U(\mathcal{A}; t_n) \setminus U(\mathcal{A}; t_{n-1}), \end{cases}$$

respectively, where  $t_2 < r_1 < t_1$  and  $t_4 < r_2 < t_3$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$  with

$$U(\mathcal{A}; t_1) \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_n) = X$$

and

$$U(\mathcal{A}; t_0) \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_1) \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_3) \subseteq \dots \subseteq U(\mathcal{A}; t_n) = X$$

as respective chains of  $\in$ -level B-subalgebras, and  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are non-equivalent, and obviously  $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$ . This completes the proof.

Note that every  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra, but the converse is not true in general. Now we give a condition for an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra to be an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra.

**Theorem 3.26.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $x_{t_1} \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_{t_2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq t_2$ . It follows from Theorem 3.17 that

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\} = \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y)\} \geq \min\{t_1, t_2\}$$

so that  $(x * y)_{\min\{t_1, t_2\}} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

For any fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$ , we denote

$$\mathcal{A}_t = \{x \in X \mid x_t q \mathcal{A}\} \text{ and } [A]_t = \{x \in X \mid x_t \in \vee q \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Obviously,  $[\mathcal{A}]_t = U(\mathcal{A}; t) \cup \mathcal{A}_t$ .

**Theorem 3.27.** *A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $X$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  if and only if  $[\mathcal{A}]_t$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ .*

We call  $[\mathcal{A}]_t$  an  $(\in \vee q)$ -level B-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  and let  $x, y \in [\mathcal{A}]_t$  for  $t \in (0, 1]$ . Then  $x_t \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_t \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{A}(x) \geq t$  or  $\mathcal{A}(x) + t > 1$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(y) \geq t$  or  $\mathcal{A}(y) + t > 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$  by Theorem 3.17, we have  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{t, 0.5\}$ . Otherwise,  $x_t \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$  or  $y_t \in \vee q \mathcal{A}$ , a contradiction. If  $t \leq 0.5$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{t, 0.5\} = t$  and so  $x * y \in U(\mathcal{A}; t) \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_t$ . If  $t > 0.5$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{t, 0.5\} = 0.5$  and thus  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) + t > 0.5 + 0.5 = 1$ . Hence  $(x * y)_t q \mathcal{A}$ , and so  $x * y \in \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_t$ . Therefore  $[\mathcal{A}]_t$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $[\mathcal{A}]_t$  is a B-subalgebra of  $X$ . If possible, let

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) < t < \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$$

for some  $t \in (0, 0.5)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $x, y \in U(\mathcal{A}; t) \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_t$ , which implies that  $x * y \in [\mathcal{A}]_t$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq t$  or  $\mathcal{A}(x * y) + t > 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mathcal{A}(x), \mathcal{A}(y), 0.5\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using Theorem 3.17, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.28.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a proper  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebra of  $X$  such that  $\#\{\mathcal{A}(x) \mid \mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5\} \geq 2$ . Then there exist two proper non-equivalent  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  can be expressed as the union of them.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{ \mathcal{A}(x) \mid \mathcal{A}(x) < 0.5 \} = \{ t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r \}$ , where  $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_r$  and  $r \geq 2$ . Then the chain of  $(\in \vee q)$ -level B-subalgebras of  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{0.5} \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_1} \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_r} = X.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be fuzzy sets in  $X$  defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \begin{cases} t_1 & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{t_1}, \\ t_2 & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{t_2} \setminus [\mathcal{A}]_{t_1}, \\ \dots & \\ t_r & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{t_r} \setminus [\mathcal{A}]_{t_{r-1}}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(x) & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{0.5}, \\ k & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{t_2} \setminus [\mathcal{A}]_{0.5}, \\ t_3 & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{t_3} \setminus [\mathcal{A}]_{t_2}, \\ \dots & \\ t_r & \text{if } x \in [\mathcal{A}]_{t_r} \setminus [\mathcal{A}]_{t_{r-1}}, \end{cases}$$

respectively, where  $t_3 < k < t_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy B-algebras of  $X$ ; and  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{A}$ . The chains of  $(\in \vee q)$ -level B-subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are, respectively, given by

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{t_1} \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_r}$$

and

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{0.5} \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq [\mathcal{A}]_{t_r}.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are non-equivalent and clearly  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ . This completes the proof.

### REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Allen, J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *B-algebras and groups*, Sci. Math. Jpn. Online **9** (2993), 179-185.
- [2] S. A. Bhatti, M. A. Chaudhry and B. Ahmad, *On classification of BCI-algebras*, Math. Jpn. **34** (1989), no. 6, 865-876.



- [3] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **80** (1996), 359-368.
- [4] J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim, *On B-algebras and quasigroups*, *Quasigroups and Related Systems* **8** (2001), 1-6.
- [5] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, *Math. Seminar Notes* **11** (1983), 313-320.
- [6] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebras*, *Math. Japonica* **30** (1985), 659-661.
- [7] K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, *Math. Seminar Notes* **8** (1980), 125-130.
- [8] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras*, *Math. Japonica* **23** (1978), 1-26.
- [9] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, *On BH-algebras*, *Sci. Mathematicae* **1** (1998), 347-354.
- [10] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, *On fuzzy B-algebras*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **52** (2002), 375-384.
- [11] Y. B. Jun, *On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy subalgebras of BCK/BCI-algebras*, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** (2005), no. 4, 703-711.
- [12] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa Co., Korea (1994).
- [13] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On B-algebras*, *Mat. Vesnik* **54** (2002), 21-29.
- [14] J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, *A fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras*, *Intern. Math. J.* **2(3)** (2002), 207-214.
- [15] P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, *Fuzzy topology I, Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **76** (1980), 571-599.

**Young Bae Jun**

Department of Mathematics Education (and RINS)  
Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea  
E-mail: ybjun@gnu.ac.kr jamjana@korea.com

**Hee Sik Kim**

Department of Mathematics  
Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea  
E-mail: heekim@hanyang.ac.kr

**Eun Hwan Roh**

Department of Mathematics Education  
Chinju National University of Education  
Chinju 660-756, Korea  
E-mail: ehroh@cue.ac.kr

